



# Introduction of elite wheat line for cold regions of Iran

Ali Reza Eivazi<sup>1\*</sup>, Mohammad Rezaei<sup>1</sup> and Rogyhe Abdolazimazdeh<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Seed and Plant Improvement Research Department,  
West Azerbaijan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research and Education Center, AREEO, Urmia, Iran.

<sup>2</sup>Jehad-e-Agriculture Organization, West Azerbaijan Province, Urmia, Iran.

## Article History

Received 03 April, 2018  
Received in revised form 08  
May, 2018  
Accepted 11 May, 2018

## Keywords:

Cold climate,  
Grain yield,  
On-farm trial,  
Promising line,  
Winter wheat.

## Article Type:

Full Length Research Article

## ABSTRACT

Receiving high and stable grain yield at different environments is one of the aims in cereal breeding programs. In order to compare results of research under on-farm conditions, it is necessary to carry out research-extension project to receive high grain yield and adaptability lines. In this project, EWYT-C-91-4 with pedigree "Zm/Shiroodi/6/Zm/5/Omid/4/Bb/Kal//Ald/3/Y50E/Kal\*3//..." as promising line and Haydari "Ghk"s"/Bow"s"//90Zhong87/3/Shiroodi" as control and commercial cultivar were sowed under irrigated and on farm conditions in Nagadeh and Miandoab Regions, North West of Iran. The planted area per location for each genotype was 5000 m<sup>2</sup>. Land preparation and sowing were accomplished according to on-farm conditions of the region. Seed rate was based on 1000-kernal weight (450 seed/m<sup>2</sup>) and fertilizer applications was done based on recommendations from soil tests. Results analysis using Hotellings T<sup>2</sup> test showed significant differences for nine characteristics. In addition, *t*-student mean comparison revealed that EWYT-C-91-4 line was superior to the control cultivar. This line had the lowest coefficient and range of variations, and standard deviations within two regions. Combined analyses of variance showed significant differences within locations. Finally, EWYT-C-91-4 due to more grain yield, total dry matter and diseases resistance could replace commercial cultivar. One thousand spikes of EWYT-C-91-4 promising line was provided for producing breeder seed.

©2018 BluePen Journals Ltd. All rights reserved

## INTRODUCTION

Wheat was sown in more than 1000 hectares in the west Azerbaijan province of Iran in the growing seasons of 2016-2017 (personal communication). It is the first product within crops and one of the main sources of income of farmers planting wheat. Therefore, identifying promising lines with high grain yield potential and compatible to limiting input production in terms of income and employment is important in this region.

There are different methods and tools for technology transfer to the farmers' field. On-farm research has been known as an essential implement and effective method for developing and transmitting the newly applied

researchers' results into the farmers' field. It also has an indispensable duty of screening and substantiation of farming practices under local farmers' conditions. Furthermore, on-farm research creates suitable conditions for participatory management of the researchers, extension agents and farmers for the finding of agricultural problems in rural areas (Tta-Krah and Francis, 1987). In order to transmit the new applied researchers' results into farmers' field, Moayedi (2012) compared promising durum wheat lines in two farmers' field. Results showed that there were significant differences for impact of genotype × location on grain yield. D-84-3 produced the highest grain yield and it might be used as stable breeding materials under farmers' field conditions. Eivazi et al. (2017) evaluated wheat-promising lines under on-farm conditions. They introduced C-89-15 with more than 8.0 th<sup>-1</sup> grain yield for

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: alirezaeivazi@yahoo.com. Tel: +989141451570. Fax: +984432722221.

cold regions of Iran.

Grain yield and its stability of wheat genotypes are important in breeding programs. This can be used to select promising wheat genotypes across multiple environments. Therefore, Aydin et al. (2011) sowed different wheat genotypes in seven environments in central black sea region and selected three genotypes for release procedure with good yield potential and acceptable end use quality. Ahmadi et al. (2012) in targeting promising bread wheat lines for cold climate environments with using of AMMI and GGE bi-plot analyses found out that two lines had high grain yield at different environments. They concluded that AMMI and GGE bi-plots facilitated visual comparison and identification of superior genotypes. Khan et al. (2014) in evaluating five promising wheat lines revealed that the overall mean grain yield of genotypes across environments ranged from 1198 to 2202  $\text{kg h}^{-1}$  at three locations under rainfall conditions of Balochistan, Pakistan. Cultivar "AZRC-3" having regression coefficient close to unity and higher grain yield showed consistent performance and was considered as stable and widely adopted. Khajavi et al. (2014) tested genetic diversity of twenty barley lines based on pheno-morphological traits and selected five promising lines. These genotypes were introduced as superior lines for releasing and replacing common cultivars. Nabaty and Shaban (2012) compared barley promising lines under two temperate locations of Iran. Results showed that barley yield in Boroujerd was the highest for "MB83-3" line and in Dorud location was the highest for common Nosrat cultivar. They selected "MB83-3" line for complimentary studies. Mohammadi and Haghparast (2010) in analyzing of genotype by farmers' field trials data showed that farmers' field main effect was the predominant source of variation. Great variations exist in the agro-ecological conditions within the region in terms of altitude, temperature, and soil characteristics etc. Therefore, Baig et al. (2008) evaluated wheat-promising lines for grain yield over three locations. Results showed that "Chakwal-97" line gave high grain yield with 4955  $\text{kg h}^{-1}$ . Ramazani and Tajalli (2016) in testing triticale-promising line recommended ET-83-18 new line could be replaced with "Juanillo-92" as old cultivar under Birjand and similar areas conditions of Iran. The objective of this research was evaluation grain yield of winter wheat promising line "EWYT-C-91-4" and comparison with "Haydari" as control and commercial cultivar and possibility replacing it with old, landraces and conventional varieties under on-farm and cold regions of Iran.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Experimental locations

Two field experiments conducted in cropping seasons of

2015-16 under on-farm conditions at Nagadeh and Miandoab north-west regions of Iran. "EWYT-C-91-4" with pedigree "Zm/Shiroodi/6/Zm/5/Omid/4/Bb/Kal//Ald/3/Y50E/Kal\*3//..." promising line evaluated with Haydari "Ghk"s"/Bow"s"/90Zhong87/3/Shiroodi" as commercial and control cultivar. Each genotype was planted at 5000  $\text{m}^2$  at two locations under irrigated growth conditions.

### Climatic conditions

Regions of Nagadeh and Miandoab are located in west Azerbaijan province, north-west of Iran and have semi-arid region. Average period of below-freezing temperature is 90 to 100 days, which in seasons of January, February and March reach to its minimum values (Table 1).

Autumn precipitation almost starts from late of October and most rainfall occurs when plant growth is slow. With increasing temperature, precipitation interrupt and evaporation rate rise in the second half of the May. During grain filling period in May and cut-off rainfall, in addition, temperature rise, relative humidity reduces and hot winds start and haying-off damage can be seen in various areas.

### Husbandry practices

Husbandry operations were carried out based on conventional methods. Therefore, the field was deep plowing in April and given triple-super-phosphate, fertilizer at the rate of 100  $\text{kg h}^{-1}$  and then the disc was struck in August. At the sowing time (15 September, 30 October), 200  $\text{kg h}^{-1}$  nitrogen fertilizer was applied based on soil test. 70  $\text{kg h}^{-1}$  nitrogen was spread at first time and topdressing was added at two stages during stem elongation and heading. Cultivation was carried out with farmers' method. Furrow irrigation was done five-times at growing stages until physiological maturity. To prevent smut infection, before sowing, seeds were sterilized with Carboxynthiram fungicide. For weed-controlling Topic and Ganstar toxins were used for board-leaf weeds and grasses at the stem elongation stage, respectively. During the period of plant growth were recorded germination time, cold damage, date of tillering, number of days to heading and maturity.

### Measured traits

Ten samples were randomly selected at harvesting time. The evaluated traits were plant height, spike length, number of spikelets per spike, grains per spike, number of spikes per square meter, 1000-kernal weight, grain yield, total dry matter and harvest index. Grain yield and

**Table 1.** Meteorological parameters of Nagadeh and Miandoab experimental locations in 2015-2016 seasons.

| Parameter            | Nagadeh experimental location         |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |         |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|---------|
|                      | Oct                                   | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Sum  | Average |
| Precipitation (mm)   | 9                                     | 61  | 49  | 44  | 34  | 59  | 73  | 35  | 18  | 1   | 383  | 38      |
| Maximum temp. (°C)   | 32                                    | 26  | 18  | 14  | 15  | 19  | 22  | 28  | 30  | 38  | 242  | 24      |
| Minimum temp. (°C)   | -1                                    | -2  | -8  | -15 | -13 | -10 | -10 | 4   | 7   | 11  | 37   | 4       |
| Average temp. (°C)   | 15                                    | 12  | 5   | 1   | 1   | 5   | 6   | 16  | 19  | 25  | 105  | 11      |
| Maximum humidity (%) | 83                                    | 92  | 91  | 88  | 82  | 83  | 84  | 78  | 73  | 70  | 824  | 82      |
| Minimum humidity (%) | 44                                    | 63  | 66  | 65  | 53  | 51  | 52  | 43  | 38  | 39  | 514  | 51      |
| Sunny (h)            | 198                                   | 142 | 135 | 133 | 164 | 186 | 215 | 275 | 336 | 353 | 2137 | 214     |
| Evaporation (mm)     | 132                                   | 62  | 13  | --- | --- | --- | 77  | 199 | 231 | 264 | 978  | 140     |
| Frosty (day)         | 1                                     | 2   | 25  | 22  | 25  | 10  | 6   | --- | --- | --- | 91   | 13      |
|                      | <b>Miandoab experimental location</b> |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |         |
| Precipitation (mm)   | 10                                    | 84  | 28  | 16  | 15  | 25  | 69  | 17  | 2   | 16  | 282  | 28.2    |
| Maximum temp. (°C)   | 32                                    | 27  | 17  | 16  | 17  | 19  | 21  | 29  | 32  | 39  | 249  | 25      |
| Minimum temp. (°C)   | -1                                    | -3  | -12 | -11 | -12 | -4  | -3  | 3   | 8   | 10  | 25   | 3       |
| Average temp. (°C)   | 16                                    | 12  | 3   | 3   | 3   | 8   | 9   | 16  | 20  | 25  | 115  | 12      |
| Maximum humidity (%) | 86                                    | 90  | 91  | 83  | 90  | 86  | 86  | 80  | 68  | 65  | 825  | 83      |
| Minimum humidity (%) | 32                                    | 51  | 54  | 59  | 48  | 42  | 44  | 32  | 24  | 23  | 409  | 41      |
| Sunny (h)            | 222                                   | 134 | 120 | 106 | 195 | 184 | 211 | 270 | 352 | 379 | 2173 | 217     |
| Evaporation (mm)     | 101                                   | 22  | 11  | --- | --- | --- | 64  | 165 | 239 | 323 | 926  | 132     |
| Frosty (day)         | 2                                     | 10  | 24  | 23  | 25  | 4   | 8   | --- | --- | --- | 96   | 14      |

total dry matter measured separately from areas of two square meters for each genotype at two locations.

### Statistical analysis

The skewness to the right, left and kurtosis of data were calculated with Mstat-C software for regions of Nagadeh and Miandoab. After ensuring be normal data, amounts of skewness and kurtosis calculated. Analysis of Hotellings  $T^2$  test, t-student and combined analysis, range of variation, standard deviation and coefficient of variations were calculated for two experimental locations (Cochran and Cox, 1957).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Skewness and kurtosis of data were calculated for nine traits of bread wheat genotypes including EWYT-C-91-4 as promising line and Haydari commercial check cultivar in Nagadeh and Miandoab regions. Results showed that for the except of total dry matter, 1000-kernal weight and harvest index, other traits with ten samples were normally distributed and had not statistically significant differences with student's t test ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) (Table 2). For achieving the most possible grain yield at each location, farmers must

use promising lines that are adapted to particular environments, which fluctuate with different seasons and locations (Ingver et al., 2008) as like our results.

### Multivariate analysis

Multivariate analysis of  $T^2$  Hotellings was done separately for means of each and combined locations at Nagadeh and Miandoab conditions. Results showed that there were significant differences at least in one of traits within characters of EWYT-C-91-4 and Haydari (Table 3). It was proven in t-student test and null hypostases ( $H_0$ ) is rejected. It indicates that the two genotypes are not equal in terms of nine measured traits. Grain yield of EWYT-C-91-4 in Nagadeh and Miandoab locations was 12.5 and 11.52t/h, respectively. In contrast, Haydari had 9 and 8.2 t/h in each region. Increasing grain yield in EWYT-C-91-4 in compared to Haydari under on-farm conditions in Nagadeh and Miandoab were 38 and 40%, respectively. The significant differences among traits of genotypes imply the presence of substantial variation among genotypes, which is central to the study of traits and gives an opportunity to plant breeders for improvement of these characters through breeding. Grain yield variability was result of the potential growing conditions in each location generated by differences in lines and its distribution during the vegetative and reproductive stages

**Table 2.** Skewness, kurtosis and probability levels of wheat traits under Nagadeh and Miandoab on-farm conditions in 2015-16.

| Trait                                | Haydari commercial cultivar |                 |                            |          |                 |             |  |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------|-----------------|-------------|--|
|                                      | Skewness                    | t-student value | Probability                | Kurtosis | t-student value | Probability |  |
| Total dry matter (g/m <sup>2</sup> ) | 1.35                        | 2.87            | 0.04                       | 1.80     | 1.97            | 0.06        |  |
| Spike/m <sup>2</sup>                 | 0.13                        | 0.29            | 0.38                       | -0.15    | -0.16           | 0.43        |  |
| Grain per spike                      | 0.46                        | 0.98            | 0.16                       | 0.03     | 0.03            | 0.48        |  |
| Spikelet per spike                   | 0.45                        | 0.96            | 0.17                       | -1.21    | -1.32           | 0.09        |  |
| Plant height (cm)                    | 0.13                        | 0.29            | 0.38                       | -0.06    | -0.07           | 0.47        |  |
| Spike weight (g)                     | 0.60                        | 1.28            | 0.10                       | -0.12    | -0.13           | 0.44        |  |
| Grain yield (g/m <sup>2</sup> )      | 0.62                        | 1.31            | 0.10                       | 0.07     | 0.07            | 0.46        |  |
| 1000-kernal weight (g)               | 1.32                        | 2.81            | 0.04                       | 3.14     | 3.41            | 0.01        |  |
| Harvest index (%)                    | -1.25                       | -2.64           | 0.07                       | 0.11     | 0.12            | 0.45        |  |
|                                      |                             |                 | EWYT-C-91-4 promising line |          |                 |             |  |
| Total dry matter (g/m <sup>2</sup> ) | 0.50                        | 1.06            | 0.14                       | -0.63    | -0.68           | 0.24        |  |
| Spike/m <sup>2</sup>                 | 0.53                        | 1.13            | 0.13                       | -0.16    | -0.17           | 0.43        |  |
| Grain per spike                      | 0.58                        | 1.23            | 0.11                       | -0.99    | -1.08           | 0.14        |  |
| Spikelet per spike                   | 0.16                        | 0.35            | 0.36                       | -1.05    | -1.14           | 0.13        |  |
| Plant height (cm)                    | 0.39                        | 0.83            | 0.20                       | -0.25    | -0.28           | 0.38        |  |
| Spike weight (g)                     | 0.58                        | 1.23            | 0.11                       | -0.39    | -0.43           | 0.33        |  |
| Grain yield (g/m <sup>2</sup> )      | 0.55                        | 1.17            | 0.12                       | -0.40    | -0.43           | 0.33        |  |
| 1000-kernel weight (g)               | 2.13                        | 4.52            | 0.01                       | 8.32     | 9.06            | 0.01        |  |
| Harvest index (%)                    | -1.34                       | -2.85           | 0.04                       | 2.33     | 2.54            | 0.09        |  |

**Table 3.** T<sup>2</sup> Hotellings test for traits of wheat genotypes at each locations under on-farm conditions in 2015-16.

| Combined analysis |                         | Nagadeh location |                        | Miandoab location |                       |
|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| F= 11.89          | T <sub>2</sub> = 129.50 | F= 6.10          | T <sub>2</sub> = 86.30 | F= 22.47          | T <sub>2</sub> 321.63 |
| ((0.00))          | Probability level       | ((0.001))        | Probability level      | ((0.00))          | Probability level     |
| Grain yield (t/h) | Haydar cultivar         |                  | 9.0                    |                   | 8.2                   |
|                   | C-91-4 promising line   |                  | 12.5                   |                   | 11.52                 |

(Longove et al., 2014).

### Naghadeh conditions

Number of grain and spikelets per spike, plant height, spike weight, grain yield and harvest index were statistically significant between EWYT-C-91-4 and Haydari (Table 4). The reason of significance T<sup>2</sup> Hotelling was due to above mention traits. Number of grain at per spike for EWYT-C-91-4 was 63.5 while Haydari had 53.3. In addition, this line had lower range and coefficient of variations (30 and 16) than Haydari (42 and 19.32) due to more number of spikelets. So that the elite line had 20.2 spikelets per spike but Haydari had 18.9. EWYT-C-91-4 had lower plant height (84.1 cm) than Haydari (89.3 cm). Low plant height in new cultivar is desirable in mechanized cultivation including drip irrigation due to have no lodging.

With considering that number of grain per spike is one of the three main components of grain yield, with increasing it, grain yield will rise. This was evident on the EWYT-C-91-4. By replacing promising line with common cultivar, it could be about 23% more grain yield. More grain yield alone cannot be criterion for assessing cultivars. In addition, the dispersion parameters should also be considered. Salari et al. (2015b) to determine similarity and genetic distances of advanced lines divided them into three groups under Kabul, Afghanistan conditions. They found exotic lines to be adapted to the same conditions, and it could be utilized in local breeding programs (Salari et al., 2015a). Grain yield of EWYT-C-91-4 had range of variation 560 g/m<sup>2</sup>, coefficient of variation 12.71% and standard deviation 171.1 g/m<sup>2</sup>, which was less than Haydari 832, 19.85 and 216.26%. Therefore, this line in Naghadeh can have better performance than Haydari. Harvest index is one of the



**Table 5.** Mean comparison traits of C-91-4 promising line and Haydari commercial cultivar regardless experimental locations.

| Trait                                | C-91-4 promising line |               |                |            | Haydari commercial cultivar |               |                |            | Statistical parameter |             |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------|-----------------------|-------------|
|                                      | Mean                  | Range of var. | Coeff. of var. | Std. error | Mean                        | Range of var. | Coeff. of var. | Std. error | t-student value       | Prob. level |
| Total dry matter (g/m <sup>2</sup> ) | 2309.3                | 1768          | 23.3           | 539.2      | 2235                        | 3048          | 33.2           | 743        | 0.39                  | 0.69        |
| Spike/m <sup>2</sup>                 | 730.8                 | 496           | 17.7           | 129.6      | 756.8                       | 252           | 14.5           | 109.7      | -0.75                 | 0.45        |
| Grain per spike                      | 53.0                  | 43            | 24.7           | 13.1       | 44.5                        | 51            | 27.2           | 12.1       | 2.33                  | 0.02        |
| Spikelet per spike                   | 18.2                  | 9             | 14.3           | 2.6        | 17.1                        | 7             | 12.9           | 2.2        | 1.54                  | 0.12        |
| Plant height (cm)                    | 85.3                  | 15            | 4.8            | 4.1        | 85.9                        | 27            | 7.7            | 6.6        | -0.39                 | 0.69        |
| Spike weight (g/m <sup>2</sup> )     | 1606.7                | 1216          | 21.9           | 352.7      | 1364.7                      | 1176          | 23.1           | 314.9      | 2.50                  | 0.01        |
| Grain yield (g/m <sup>2</sup> )      | 1142.3                | 872           | 21.8           | 249.3      | 964.3                       | 832           | 22.6           | 217.7      | 2.63                  | 0.01        |
| 1000-kernal weight (g)               | 49.41                 | 5.6           | 5.3            | 2.6        | 47.7                        | 6.5           | 2.8            | 1.32       | 2.81                  | 0.00        |
| Harvest index (%)                    | 49.61                 | 6.7           | 3.3            | 1.6        | 44.4                        | 15.4          | 11.9           | 5.3        | 4.61                  | 0.00        |

**Table 6.** Statistical parameters of C-91-4 promising line and Haydari commercial cultivar under on-farm conditions in 2015-16.

| Trait                                | C-91-4 promising line |      |        |        |          |            |          |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|------|--------|--------|----------|------------|----------|
|                                      | Min                   | Max  | Sum    | Mean   | Variance | Std. error | Std. dev |
| Total dry matter (g/m <sup>2</sup> ) | 1600                  | 3368 | 55424  | 2309.3 | 290769.6 | 539.2      | 110.0    |
| Spike/m <sup>2</sup>                 | 504                   | 1000 | 17540  | 730.8  | 16793.7  | 129.6      | 26.4     |
| Grain per spike                      | 33                    | 76   | 1273   | 53.0   | 171.2    | 13.1       | 2.7      |
| Spikelet per spike                   | 14                    | 23   | 436    | 18.1   | 6.8      | 2.6        | 0.5      |
| Plant height (cm)                    | 80                    | 95   | 2046   | 85.2   | 16.9     | 4.1        | 0.8      |
| Spike weight (g/m <sup>2</sup> )     | 1144                  | 2360 | 38560  | 1606.6 | 124425.2 | 352.7      | 72.0     |
| Grain yield (g/m <sup>2</sup> )      | 800                   | 1672 | 27416  | 1142.3 | 62141.1  | 249.2      | 50.8     |
| 1000-kernal weight (g)               | 45.4                  | 59.1 | 1185.8 | 49.4   | 6.74     | 2.6        | 0.5      |
| Harvest index (%)                    | 45.4                  | 52.1 | 1190.6 | 49.6   | 2.6      | 1.6        | 0.3      |
| Haydari commercial cultivar          |                       |      |        |        |          |            |          |
| Total dry matter (g/m <sup>2</sup> ) | 1376                  | 4424 | 53640  | 2235   | 552012   | 742.9      | 151.6    |
| Spike/m <sup>2</sup>                 | 512                   | 960  | 18164  | 756.8  | 12027    | 109.6      | 22.3     |
| Grain per spike                      | 23                    | 74   | 1069   | 44.5   | 147      | 12.1       | 2.4      |
| Spikelet per spike                   | 14                    | 21   | 410    | 17.1   | 5        | 2.2        | 0.4      |
| Plant height (cm)                    | 73                    | 100  | 2061   | 85.8   | 44       | 6.6        | 1.3      |
| Spike weight (g/m <sup>2</sup> )     | 912                   | 2088 | 32752  | 1364.7 | 99143    | 314.8      | 64.2     |
| Grain yield (g/m <sup>2</sup> )      | 648                   | 1480 | 23144  | 964.3  | 47409    | 217.7      | 44.4     |
| 1000-kernal weight (g)               | 45.3                  | 51.8 | 1145.6 | 47.7   | 1.7      | 1.3        | 0.2      |
| Harvest index (%)                    | 33.4                  | 49   | 1065.8 | 44.4   | 27.8     | 5.2        | 1.0      |

### Comparison of mean traits regardless of experimental locations

Number of grains at per spike and 1000-kernal weight in the EWYT-C-91-4 was more than Haydari, which increased spike weight. Increasing two main above components of grain yield led to more grain yield. EWYT-C-91-4 and Haydarei had 1142.3 and 964.3 g/m<sup>2</sup> grain yield, respectively. High grain yield increased harvest index with more than five percent. The highest grain yield in Naghad compared to Miandoab in both genotypes is

due to favorable climatic conditions for wheat cultivation in this region (Tables 5 and 6). Farmers in developing countries, which use no or limited inputs and grow cereals under marginal and unpredictable environments, require promising varieties. In these cases, genotypes with good performance should be recommended such as EWYT-C-91-4. Environmental effects were large for the expression of most agro-morphological traits which also supported by Subhashchandra et al. (2009). Similar results are further supported by Longove et al. (2014) who reported considerable variations in grain yield of

**Table 7.** Simple correlation coefficient of wheat traits under on-farm conditions in 2015-16.

| Trait                                | C-91-4 promising line |                 |                    |                   |                                  |                                 |                        |                   |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
|                                      | Spike/m <sup>2</sup>  | Grain per spike | Spikelet per spike | Plant height (cm) | Spike weight (g/m <sup>2</sup> ) | Grain yield (g/m <sup>2</sup> ) | 1000-kernel weight (g) | Harvest index (%) |
| Total dry matter (g/m <sup>2</sup> ) | 0.90**                | 0.79**          | 0.71**             | -0.29             | 0.99**                           | 0.98**                          | 0.20                   | -0.52**           |
| Spike/m <sup>2</sup>                 |                       | 0.60**          | 0.51**             | -0.22             | 0.92**                           | 0.91**                          | 0.32                   | 0.36              |
| Grain per spike                      |                       |                 | 0.83**             | -0.33             | 0.79**                           | 0.80**                          | 0.10                   | -0.33             |
| Spikelet per spike                   |                       |                 |                    | -0.27             | 0.73**                           | 0.72**                          | -0.20                  | -0.30             |
| Plant height (cm)                    |                       |                 |                    |                   | -0.28                            | 0.27                            | 0.30                   | 0.28              |
| Spike weight (g/m <sup>2</sup> )     |                       |                 |                    |                   |                                  | 0.99**                          | 0.19                   | -0.43             |
| Grain yield (g/m <sup>2</sup> )      |                       |                 |                    |                   |                                  |                                 | 0.19                   | -0.40             |
| 1000-kernal weight (g)               |                       |                 |                    |                   |                                  |                                 |                        | -0.15             |
| Haydari commercial cultivar          |                       |                 |                    |                   |                                  |                                 |                        |                   |
| Total dry matter (g/m <sup>2</sup> ) | 0.59**                | 0.57**          | 0.65**             | 0.64**            | 0.92**                           | 0.90**                          | 0.28                   | -0.77**           |
| Spike/m <sup>2</sup>                 |                       | 0.11            | 0.14               | 0.23              | 0.74**                           | 0.70                            | 0.12                   | -0.28             |
| Grain per spike                      |                       |                 | 0.81**             | 0.67**            | 0.53**                           | 0.52**                          | 0.34                   | -0.49*            |
| Spikelet per spike                   |                       |                 |                    | 0.54**            | 0.62**                           | 0.61**                          | 0.43*                  | -0.55**           |
| Plant height (cm)                    |                       |                 |                    |                   | 0.52**                           | 0.48*                           | 0.16                   | -0.67**           |
| Spike weight (g/m <sup>2</sup> )     |                       |                 |                    |                   |                                  | 0.98**                          | 0.32                   | -0.51**           |
| Grain yield (g/m <sup>2</sup> )      |                       |                 |                    |                   |                                  |                                 | 0.30                   | -0.46*            |
| 1000-kernal weight (g)               |                       |                 |                    |                   |                                  |                                 |                        | -0.17             |

\* and \*\*, are significant differences at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively.

promising wheat lines when planted under agro-ecological conditions of Quetta, Pakistan. Moayedi and Azizi (2012) in studying improvement of knowledge and skills level of wheat-cultivating farmers using on-farm researchers concluded that the new selected promising lines are able to increase income and productivity of farmers. In addition, our farmers with sowing new cultivar raise economic level of family.

### Correlation of coefficients

In the line EWYT-C-91-4, grain yield with total dry

matter, number of spike per square meter, number of grain per spike, number of spikelet per spike and spike weight, had 0.98\*\*, 0.91\*\*, 0.80\*\*, 0.72\*\* and 0.99\*\* significant positive correlations, respectively (Table 7). The most positive correlation observed between the total dry matter and spike weight and grain yield with spike weight (0.99\*\*). It seems that by increasing total dry matter, with using of nitrogen fertilizers will increase grain yield.

In the Haydari cultivar, total dry weight, number of spikes per square meter, number of grain per spike, number of spikelets per spike, plant height

and spike weight had positive and significant correlation with grain yield. The lowest positive and significant correlation was observed in plant height with grain yield (0.48\*). In contrast the highest positive and significant correlation with grain yield was related to spike weight (0.98\*\*). In most cases, there is a negative correlation between grain yield components. Therefore, selection for all desirable traits that have positive correlation with grain yield is difficult (Gupta et al., 1999). Considering that, the 1000-kernal weight is determined at the maturity, so topdressing fertilizers can be very effective in increasing 1000-

kernal weight.

High plant height due to its lodging and fungal diseases has an important role in reducing grain yield. Genotypes with higher grain yield had shorter plant height. Promising lines have shorter height than old cultivars, and it is more responsive to chemical fertilizers. Short-plant height store photosynthetic materials as a source in grains (Garcia Del Mora et al., 1985). Tahmasebi et al. (2013) with assessing genetic diversity and interrelationship of traits in some promising wheat lines and determine the traits effective on grain yield observed high genotypic and phenotypic coefficient variations for traits of grain yield, number of spike and 1000-kernal weight. Plant height, number of spike and 1000-kernal weight had significantly positive relationship with grain yield (Tahmasebi et al., 2013) like our results. Information on diversity and relationship among the agro-morphological traits will be helpful to breeders in constructing their breeding populations or lines and implementing selection strategies.

## Conclusion

Wheat breeders should try to select promising lines responsive to the environmental changes for improving grain yield and yield components. Most of new high yielding varieties have been selected under on-station research conditions. However, on-farm research can help the research and development process. The EWYT-C-91-4 promising line had more total dry matter and grain yield than Haydari in both regions of Nagadeh and Miandoab. The coefficient of variation, the standard deviation and the range of variations for these traits on the EWYT-C-91-4 line were less than Haydari which indicated better adaptation of this line to several conditions of climatic parameters of cultivated areas. With regard to other factors such as disease resistance, especially yellow and brown rust and tolerant to low irrigation EWYT-C-91-4 shall be replaced instead of Haydari and other old and conventional cultivars such as Mihan, Urum, Zare, Pishgam and Zarrin in the wheat growing lands of west Azerbaijan province. Among the main components of grain yield, characters number of spikes per square meter and grain per spike had positive significant correlation with grain yield. Therefore, they could be used as indirect criterion for increasing grain yield. One-thousand spikes of EWYT-C-91-4 were selected for propagating breeder seed and consequently producing certificate seeds between wheat farmers.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This article was extracted from a project of "Yield comparison of EWYT-C-91-4 elite wheat line with control

cultivar under on-farm conditions in west Azerbaijan province" with registered number 50785, which was financially supported by Agricultural Research Education and Extension Organization, Tehran, Iran.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmadi J., Mohammadi A. & Mirak T. N. (2012). Targeting promising bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) lines for cold climate growing environments using AMMI and SREG GGE biplot analysis. *J. Agric. Sci. Technol.* 14:645-657.
- Aydin N., Sermet C., Mut Z., Bayramoglu H. O., Ozcan H. & Oz A. (2011). Yield stability and agronomic performance of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes in the Central Black Sea region in Turkey. *J. Food Agric. Environ.* 9(2):210-216.
- Baig D., Qamar M. & Din M. (2008). Performance of different wheat varieties/lines planted over various locations in district Diامر northern areas of Pakistan. *Sarhad J. Agric.* 24(4):625-628.
- Cochran W. G. & Cox G. M. (1957). *Experimental designs*. John Wiley and Sons.
- Eivazi A. R., Rezaei M., Abdolazimzadeh R. & Shiralizadeh S. (2017). Evaluation of wheat promising lines under on-farm conditions. *Elect. J. Biol.* 13(3):214-221.
- Garcia Del Mora L. F., Ramos J. M. & Recalde L. (1985). Relationships between vegetative growth, grain yield and grain protein content in winter barley cultivars. *Can. J. Sci.* 65:532-532.
- Gupta A. K., Mittal R. K. & Ziauddin A. Z. (1999). Association and factor analysis in spring wheat. *Ann. Agric. Res.* 20:481-485.
- Ingver A., Tamm I. & Tamm U. (2008). Effect of organic and conventional production on yield and the quality of spring cereals. *Agronomijas Vestis (Latvian Journal of Agronomy)*. No: 11, LLU. Pp. 61-67.
- Khajavi A., Aharizad S. & Ahmadzadeh M. (2014). Genetic diversity of promising lines of barley based on phenol-morphological traits in Ardabil area. *International Journal of Adv. Biol. Biomed. Res.* 2(2):456-462.
- Khan S., Khan J., Khetran M. A., Hanan Amanullah A., Kurd A. A., Naseer N. S. & Jaffar S. (2014). Adaptation and stability of promising wheat genotypes for yield under rain-fed conditions of highland Balochistan. *J. Anim. Plant Sci.* 24(2):521-525.
- Longove M. A., Akbar F., Baqa S. & Azam H. S. (2014). Performance evaluation of different wheat varieties under agro-ecological conditions of Quetta (Balochistan). *J. Biol. Agric. Healthcare.* 4(8):39-43.
- Moayedi A. A. (2012). Evaluation of drum wheat promising lines using on-farm research in farmer's field. *J. Appl. Environ. Biol. Sci.* 2(4):172-176.
- Moayedi A. A. and Azizi M. (2012). Improvement of knowledge and skills level of wheat-cultivating farmers using on-farm researches. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences.* 46: 2258-2261.
- Mohammadi R. and Haghparast R. (2011). Evaluation of promising rain-fed wheat breeding lines on farmers' field in the west of Iran. *Int. J. Plant Breed.* 5(1):30-36.
- Nabaty E. & Shaban M. (2012). Study on yield comparison of barley promising lines in temperate region of Lorestan province, Iran. *Int. J. Agric. Crop Sci.* 4(24):1833-1836.



- Nabaty E. & Shaban M. (2013). Yield comparison of dry-land wheat promising lines in temperate regions of Lorestan province, Iran. *Scientia Agriculture*. 4(3):67-69.
- Ramazani S. H. R. and Tajalli H. (2016). Analysis of yield and some important agronomic traits of Iranian *Triticale* genotypes in farmer conditions. *Electr. J. Biol.* S1:1-6.
- Salari M. W., Sadeghi M. B., Ongom P. O., Saighani K., Samadi A. F. & Sarhadi W. A. (2015a). Agronomic performance of exotic wheat lines under Kabul agro-ecological conditions. *J. Agric. Environ. Sci.* 4(2):131-136.
- Salari M. W., Sadeghi M. B., Saighani K., Samadi A. F. and Sarhadi W. A. (2015b). Adaptation assessment of some wheat advanced lines in Kabul agro-ecological conditions. *Int. J. Agric. Crop Sci.* 8(2):249-255.
- Subhashchandra B., Lohithaswa H. C., Desai S. A., Hanchinal R. R., Kalappanavar I. K., Math K. K. & Salimath P. M. (2009). Assessment of genetic variability and relationship between genetic diversity and transgressive segregation in tetraploid wheat. *Karnataka J. Agric. Res.* 22(1):36-38.
- Tahmasebi G., Heydarnezhadian J. & Pour Aboghadareh A. (2013). Evaluation of yield and yield components in some of promising wheat lines. *Int. J. Agric. Crop Sci.* 5(20):2379-2384.
- Tta-Krah A. N. & Francis P. A. (1987). The role of on-farm trials in the evaluation of composite technologies: The case of alley farming in southern Nigeria. *Agric. Syst.* 23(2):133-152.